

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/074,996	LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher A. Revak	2431	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher A. Revak.

(2) Glenn Perry.

Date of Interview: 14 October 2009

Status of Application: after final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

33

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner noted that the applicant had omitted the term storage when referring to the medium with regards to claim 33. The examiner was authorized to conduct an examiner's amendment to correct the issue.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Christopher A. Revak/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)